

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/805,962	03/22/2004	Tomoko Sakimura	KON-1867	1678
20311	7590 06/13/2006		EXAMINER	
LUCAS & MERCANTI, LLP 475 PARK AVENUE SOUTH			CHAPMAN, MARK A	
15TH FLOOI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK	, NY 10016		1756	
			DATE MAILED: 06/13/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/805,962	SAKIMURA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark A. Chapman	1756	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address -	-
• •	LV IC CET TO EVOIDE AM	ONTU(C) OD TUUDTY (00) DAY	,
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (1.136(a). In no event, however, may a red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON to, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communical ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07.	December 2005		
<u> </u>	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ers prosecution as to the merits	: ie
closed in accordance with the practice under			, 13
Disposition of Claims		,	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n	,	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers	·		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	nor		
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on 3-22-04 is/are: a) \boxtimes a		hy the Evaminer	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	, , , ,	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	-	, ,	1/d\
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			1
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 25 LLS C. S	110(a) (d) ar (f)	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	in priority under 35 0.5.C. 9	119(a)-(a) or (1).	
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		onlication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri			
application from the International Burea		received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		received	
		•	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	
2))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>04062004</u> .	6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 116, line 2, "compounds" is misspelled.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over each of Yoshino (2003/0190546) and Takano (5,427,879). Yoshino (paragraphs 290-300) and Takano (claims) each teach electrophotographic photoreceptors where two or more similar charge transfer materials are used in the photosensitive layer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use any desired similar charge transfer materials because of the direct suggestions of each of Yoshino and Takano.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark A. Chapman whose telephone number is 571-272-1381. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark A. Chapman Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1756

MC